

# Shock propagation through multiphase media

R.J.R. Williams and D.L. Youngs AWE plc, Aldermaston, UK

> IWPCTM9 Cambridge, July 2004

> > 1

#### Outline



- Multiphase flows
- Previous studies
- Present work
  - 2D AMR simulations
  - 3D simulations
  - 1D characteristics
- Experimental comparisons



#### Astrophysical multiphase flows



Starburst galaxy M82



#### Astrophysical multiphase flows



#### Eagle nebula columns



#### Astrophysical multiphase flows



Photoionized clumps in the Helix nebula



## Experimental multiphase flows



Reshock of Richtmyer-Meshkov fingers, e.g. in cylinder mix experiments.

# Some previous numerical studies



Isolated clumps
2D Picone & Boris '88; Cowperthwaite '89; Klein et al '94

**3D** Stone & Norman '92; Robey et al '02

- MHD: Mac Low et al '94
- Small clusters: Jun et al '96; Steffen et al '97; Hazak et al '98; Poludnenko, Frank & Blackman '02; Collins et al '03
- Continuum approximations:

Mass loading Hartquist et al '86

Phase drag Youngs; Williams & Dyson '02

# **Experimental comparisons**



- Shock tube experiments
  - Ranger & Nicholls '69
  - Haas & Sturtevant '87
  - Philpott et al '92
- Laser driven experiments on loaded foam
  - With single sphere (Klein et al '00; Robey et al '02)
  - With plastic threads (Frank et al)
  - With dense particles (Foster et al)

# **Global dynamics**





#### **2D AMR simulations**



Using Aqualung (Williams '99)



- 150 clumps with density 100× ambient,  $\gamma = 5/3$
- $\bullet$  effective resolution up to  $1024\times4096$ 
  - 60 cells across each clump diameter.
- a = 1 in upstream diffuse gas, Mach 10 or 2 incident shock.



2D AMR simulations – Mach 10





#### 2D AMR simulations – Mach 2





### Structure of leading shock in 2D



Velocity vector and density for  $\overset{3.2}{2}$ D flow, Mach  $\overset{3.4}{1}$ O shock. Velocity field highly turbulent, flow nozzles between clumps, compaction at various angles.





Using Turmoil3D (Youngs): mass fraction (upper), density (lower):-







Clump gas is well mixed at this level, surfaces are strongly structured.



#### 3D simulations – II



Column density in 3D simulation – leading shock is closer to plane, initial cloud crushing is more directed.



### 1D characteristics – Mach 10, 2D

Velocity: clump and diffuse gas, t = 0.1, ..., 0.5:-



- Leading diffuse shock weaker, broadened (but still supersonic)
- Clumps catch up. Peaks in early scatter are gaps in clump distribution.



## 1D characteristics – Mach 2, 2D





- Leading shock spreads fully
- Precursor wave escapes



#### 1D characteristics – Mach 10, 2D



Significant density structure remains well after shock passage.



# 1D characteristics – Mach 10, 3D



- Leading shock in diffuse gas weaker, still sharp
- Clumps catch up in similar distance, with less scatter

### Multiphase flow model



Compare particulate model (adapted from Youngs 1994), with

- Drag
- Added mass terms
- Inter-phase pressure relaxation
- Particle break up

 heat exchange, surface tension/strength and viscosity effects are neglected here.







Break up speed from ram pressure balance not sound speed (cf. Poludnenko et al)

Parameters defined using numerical and experimental results.

Gives  $v_{\text{rel}} \propto (1 - t/t_{\text{shred}})^{\alpha}$ ;  $t_{\text{shred}}$  and  $\alpha$  combine break-up and drag.

Compare centre of mass motion for single shocked clump. Mach 10 Mach 2



# Multiphase flow model results





Velocities of clump and diffuse gas.

Initial particle size reduced to allow for initial anisotropic contraction. Diffuse overshoot similar to Mach 10 3D results.





- Multiphase structure is common in astrophysics
- $\bullet$  Broadens impinging shocks, drives diffuse flows to  $\sim$  Mach 1
- Turbulence driven by shock-surface interactions and shock collisions
- Partial mixing occurs, but significant structure remains
- ...so may retain structure with detailed physics (e.g. cooling)
- Experimental comparisons are being developed.