

On the mutual penetrations of two gases submitted to the Richtmyer-Meshkov instability

Part 1 - experiments



Lazhar Houas, Georges Jourdan, Vincent Filpa, Guillaume Layes, Jérôme Giordano, Clément Amagat & Yves Burtschell

9th International Workshop on the Physics of Compressible Turbulent Mixing

Cambridge - July 19-23, 2004

Topics of discussion

- Aim of the work
- Experimental description
- Results
- Summary and next step?

Aim of the Work



•Application

laser implosion of D - T fusion targets
stellar formation due to pressure fronts
supersonic and hypersonic combustion
interaction of pressure wave and flame front

The Richtmyer-Meshkov instability

•Shock traveling from light to heavy fluid (A>0) - Direct amplication

 $\rho_{1<}\rho_{2}$



Baroclinic generation of vorticity

•Shock traveling from heavy to light fluid (A<0) – Reversal phase

 $\rho_1 > \rho_2$















- Description and performances
- Horizontal orientation
- -Large square cross section: 200 mm
- Total length: 7 m Driver chamber length: 1.5 m Driven and test chamber length: 5 m + 0.5 m
 Shock Mach number range: from 1.1 to 3
 Initial pressure: from 1 to 10⁻³ atm.



The laser sheet technique

•Laser sheet visualisation technique

Oxford copper vapor laser 20 watts, 50 kHz, 530 nm
Drum camera up to100 m/s (strobodrum) to 300 m/s (cordin)
PCB pressure gauges
4 channel digital scope







Seeding with smoke

The membrane

- <u>Nitrocellulose membrane</u>
 - 2 layers (thickness < 1 μm)





• <u>Membrane frame</u>

- *Shaped grid* (12.5×12.5 mm²), 0.8 mm thick



The preparation

• Driver/driven chamber close - First diaphragm

• <u>Driven/test chamber close</u> - <u>Second diaphragm</u>





The initial conditions





air/helium (H/L)

 $air/SF_6(L/H)$

- Smoked air/helium
- Atmospheric pressure
- Shock wave Mach number ~1.3
- At=-0.7 and $\Delta U=220$ m/s
- Light/heavy case
 - Smoked air/SF₆ + small leak
 - Atmospheric pressure
 - Shock wave Mach number ~1.3
 - At = +0.7 and $\Delta U = 103$ m/s



The run

• Experimental parameters

- Laser frequency: 10 kHz (1 frame per 100 µs)
- -Camera speed: 80 m/s
- Time seeding: 10 mn
- -Time gas circulation: 7 mn

• Laser shot runnig

- 10 kHz normal running
- -Trigger
- -10 ms stop (diaphragm opening)
- -100 flashes at 10 kHz
- -500 ms stop (diaphragm closing) -10 kHz normal running









$$P_{init}=1 \text{ atm., } A_{post}=-0.7 \text{ - } Reversal phase$$

Heavy/light (air/He) visualization



Heavy/light results (air/He)



Peak to peak perturbation amplitude measurements

air/He bubble and spike displacements



Light/heavy (air/SF6) visualization



$$P_{init}=1 \text{ atm., } A_{post}=+0.7 \text{ - } No \text{ reversal phase}$$

Light/heavy (air/SF6) visualization



Light/heavy results (air/SF6)



Peak to peak perturbation amplitude measurements

air/SF6 bubble and spike displacements



Growth rate analytic theories

- Richtmyer (1960) impulsive model $\eta(t)=kU_{rm}A\eta_{0}t$
- -Alon et al. (1995) non-linear theory $\eta(t)=\eta_0 t^{\theta}$
- -Zhang & Sohn (1997) non-linear theory

$$\left(\frac{d\eta(t)}{dt}\right)_{zhang} = \frac{\left(\frac{d\eta(t)}{dt}\right)_{RM}}{1 + \left(\frac{d\eta(t)}{dt}\right)_{RM} \eta_0 k^2 t + max \left[0, \eta_0^2 k^2 - A^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d\eta(t)}{dt}\right)_{RM}^2 k^2 t^2\right]}$$

-Sadot et al. (1998) non-linear theory

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{d\eta(t)}{dt} \end{pmatrix}_{Sadot} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{d\eta(t)}{dt} \end{pmatrix}_{RM} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1+Bt}{1+Dt+Et^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$D_b = (1+A) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{d\eta(t)}{dt} \end{pmatrix}_{RM} k$$

$$E_b = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi C} \right) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{d\eta(t)}{dt} \end{pmatrix}_{RM}^2 k^2$$

$$C = \frac{1}{3\pi} \quad pour A > 0.5$$

-Mikaelian (1998) non-linear theory $\eta(t)k = \eta_0 k + \frac{2}{3} ln \left(1 + \frac{3}{2} kt \left(\frac{d\eta(t)}{dt} \right)_{RM} \right)$



Comparison of peak-to-peak perturbation amplitude measurements with theories



next step

Summary

- An investigation of shock induced gas mixing
 - Large cross section shock tube
 - Large 2D positive and negative perturbations
 - Heavy/Light and Light/Heavy cases
 - laser sheet technique

•In the present experiments we have got better accuracy for bubble measurements than for spike

•Strong asymetric mutal penetration for the Heavy/Light case and less pronouced for the Light/Heavy one

•Spike moves very faster than bubble in the Heavy/Light case and slightly faster than bubble in the Light/Heavy one

•Agreement with existing theories

- Light/Heavy (air/SF6) bubble case

Next step

• **Different perturbations**

- Change shapes, amplitudes and wave lengths

- Increase the laser frequency up to 50 kHz
- <u>Increase the shock wave Mach number</u> - M~3 -P~0.5 atm.

• Delay the return of the reflected shock wave in order to increase the time observation

- Lengthen the test chamber
- Reduce the membrane effects
 - Burn the membrane before the shock interaction

