

## Percolation effects and coherent structures in turbulent flows

O G Bakunin

FOM Instituut voor Plasmafysica “Rijnhuizen”, Associate Euroatom-FOM,

3430 BE Nieuwegein, The Netherlands

Russian Research Center “Kurchatov Institute”, Nuclear Fusion Institute.

123182 Moscow, Russia, sq.Kurchatova 1

Correlation effects play an important role in the description of turbulent transport. The present paper considers the influence of drift flow and time-dependence effects on the passive scalar behavior in the framework of the percolation approach. The renormalization method of a small parameter in continuum percolation models is reviewed. It is suggested to modify the renormalization condition of the small parameter of the percolation model in accordance with additional external influences superimposed on the system. This approach makes it possible to consider both parameters: the characteristic drift velocity  $U_d$  and the characteristic perturbation frequency  $\omega$  simultaneously. The effective diffusion coefficient  $D_{eff} \propto \omega^{1/7}$  satisfactorily describes the low-frequency region  $\omega$ , where the long-range correlation effects play a significant role. The character of the dependence of  $D_{eff}$  on the drift flow amplitude  $U_d$  in different regimes is analyzed.

### 1. Introduction

The analysis of correlation effects plays an important role in the description of turbulent transport. In spite of considerable progress attained in this field of research [1-6], the problem still awaits its complete solution. One of the important directions is obtaining scaling laws that characterize the turbulent diffusion of a passive scalar. In spite of what the formal quasi-linear approach [1-4] permits expressing the diffusivity through the velocity correlation function, the conventional diffusive equation cannot adequately describe anomalous transport mechanisms. However, in the framework of generalized probabilistic models (such as continuum time random walk (CTRW), Levy flight approach etc.) it is possible to describe the essentially non-Markovian character of transport in terms of fractal differential equations and the Hurst exponent  $H$  [4-6]. Thus, the continuum time random walk approach allows description of both superdiffusion ( $H > 1/2$ ) and subdiffusion ( $H < 1/2$ ) regimes on the basis of the special approximations of correlation functions. A variety of forms of turbulent transport requires not only special description methods, but also an analysis of general mechanisms for different turbulence types. One such mechanism is the percolation transport [5]. Its description is based on the idea of scaling representation of the correlation scale, borrowed from theory of phase transitions and critical phenomena [4-6]. It was suggested [8] that we could explain anomalous transport in two-dimensional cases in terms of the percolation threshold. The percolation model implies that there exists a percolation (fractal) streamline in the two-dimensional random flow under consideration, which embraces almost the whole plane. The convective transport of the passive scalar along this streamline defines the transport character in the system under analysis [5]. The percolation approach looks very attractive because it gives the simple and, at same time, universal model of behavior related to strong correlation effects. The percolation approach in fact gives the possibility to effectively realize the scaling

representation of correlation scale and to obtain dependences of transport coefficients on parameters characterizing common properties of a flow (velocity scale  $V_0$ , spatial scale  $\lambda$ , “seed” diffusion  $D_0$  etc.). Thus, in the framework of the percolation model the interesting results [5,9,10] were obtained that show the possibilities of the transition from the quasi-linear character ( the Taylor formula) of dependence of the effective diffusion coefficient on the velocity scale

$$D_{eff} = \int_0^{\infty} \langle V(0)V(t) \rangle dt \approx V_0^2 \tau \approx \frac{V_0^2}{\omega} \propto V_0^2, \quad (1)$$

to the regime with the unconventional kind of dependence

$$D_{eff} \approx \lambda V_0 \left( \frac{\lambda \omega}{V_0} \right)^{\frac{3}{10}} \approx \lambda V_0 \left( \frac{1}{Ku} \right)^{\frac{1}{\nu+2}} \propto V_0^{\frac{7}{10}}. \quad (2)$$

Here,  $V_0$  is the characteristic velocity,  $\tau$  is the correlation time,  $\lambda$  is the characteristic size of structures,  $\omega$  is the characteristic frequency of perturbations,  $Ku \approx \frac{V_0}{\lambda \omega}$  is the Kubo number,

and  $\nu = 4/3$  is the percolation exponent [4,5]. Note that the result (2) agrees well with numerical simulations that correspond to the dependence of the effective diffusion coefficient on the perturbation amplitude with the interval of exponents  $0,6-0,8$  [11-13]. Such a behavior also describes the alteration of regimes with the increase of perturbation amplitude: the quasi-linear regime with  $D_{eff} \propto V_0^2 \propto Ku^2$ , then the linear dependence  $D_{eff} \propto V_0 \propto Ku$ , which corresponds to the convective cells model [2-3], and the regime with the slower dependence on  $V_0$  than the linear one [2-5]. The analysis of transport in terms of the Kubo number is very important because it gives the possibility to use the correlation theory. For example the dimensionless number  $R_m = \frac{b_0 L_{//}}{\Delta_{\perp}}$  introduced in [8] to describe a stochastic magnetic field, is

the direct analogy with the Kubo number. Here,  $b_0$  is the relative amplitude of perturbations of

magnetic field,  $L_{//}$  is the longitudinal correlation length, and  $\Delta_{\perp}$  is the transverse correlation size. Therefore the magnetic diffusion coefficient  $D_m$  has the analogous character of the dependence on perturbation amplitude  $b_0$ ; but instead of the Kubo number, it is used the magnetic Kubo number  $R_m$ . If  $R_m \ll 1$  then we deal with the quasi-linear regime [1-4]  $D_m \propto b_0^2$ . When  $Ku \leq 1$ , then we have the Kadomtsev-Pogutse regime [8] with  $D_m \propto b_0$ . The percolation limit was considered in [5] on the basis of the time-dependent model (2)  $D_m \propto b_0^{7/10}$ .

The notion of nature of stochastic layer  $\Delta$  corresponding to percolation (fractal) streamline is the foundation of percolation models. The simplest and, at the same time, most “universal” model is the steady one. For the steady case with initial (background) diffusivity  $D_0$ , the authors of Ref. [9] obtained the percolation expression in the form:

$$D_{eff} \approx \lambda V_0 \left( \frac{D_0}{\lambda V_0} \right)^{\frac{3}{13}} \approx \lambda V_0 \left( \frac{1}{Pe} \right)^{\frac{1}{v+3}} \propto V_0^{\frac{10}{13}}. \quad (3)$$

Here  $Pe \approx \frac{\lambda V_0}{D_0}$  is the Peclet number. This result also differs significantly from convective cells estimate,  $D_{eff} \propto V_0 \Delta$  [2-3]. The consideration of more complex situations (time-dependence, drift effects etc.) is based upon analyzing mechanisms responsible for the “reorganization” of a stochastic layer.

The present paper considers the influence of drift flows and time-dependence effects on the turbulent transport in the framework of the percolation model. The author of Ref. [15] suggested using the percolation approach to interpret experimental data characterizing neoclassical transport in tokamak. The conventional Hamiltonian function has the form:

$$H = H_0 + U_d (x \cos \theta + y \sin \theta). \quad (4)$$

Here,  $H_0$  is the main fraction of Hamiltonian function;  $U_d$  is the drift velocity; and  $\theta$  is the poloidal angle. In the steady case, the percolation model agrees well with the experimental data. The complexity of the simultaneous incorporation of several factors often leads to the consideration of time-dependence on the basis only the quasi-linear expression. The percolation method suggested in [9,10] is one of important approaches, which make it possible to analyze the long-range correlation effects that cannot be described in terms of the quasi-linear approach. In the present paper, we concentrate our attention on scaling arguments that play the very important role in obtaining estimates of transport effects. In the framework of the mean field theory, of course, many important problems [1-3,6-7] will be not considered. However, the aim of this paper is to establish the character of dependence of transport coefficient on such parameters as fluctuations amplitude, characteristic size, characteristic time etc. The considered approach is especially effective just for these purposes.

## 2. Percolation method and transport

A physically clear presentation of fundamental ideas of the percolation theory and the fractal conception can be found in [4]. In the context of this paper, the streamlines of the two-dimensional random flow  $\Psi = \Psi(x, y)$  are considered as the coastlines in the hilly landscape flooded by water. It is expected that there is a sharp transition from separated lakes on a boundless land to individual islands in the infinity ocean. The percolation theory requires the existence of at least one coastline of infinite length. This length was represented by the scaling,  $L(\varepsilon) \propto \frac{1}{\varepsilon^\beta}$ . Here,  $\beta$  is the fractal exponent, and  $\varepsilon$  is a small dimensionless quantity characterizing the degree of deviation of the system from the critical state (the percolation

threshold):  $\varepsilon \approx \frac{h}{\lambda V_0}$ , where  $h$  is the value of the streamline function  $\Psi = \Psi(x, y)$  near the percolation threshold,  $\lambda$  is the characteristic scale, and  $V_0$  is the characteristic velocity of the flow. The expression for  $L(\varepsilon)$  corresponds exactly to the fractal representation of the curve length. From the formal standpoint [4-6] the length of the “very intricate curve” (the fractal curve)  $L(\delta)$  can be rewritten in the form,  $L \approx \delta N(\delta)$ ,  $N(\delta) \propto \frac{1}{\delta^{d_f}}$ . In this fractal approach the full length  $L$  is approximated by the small segments of the size  $\delta$ ,  $N(\delta)$  is the number of these segments, which are necessary for such an approximation, and  $d_f$  is the fractal dimensionality of the curve [4-6]. In the framework of the conventional representation, we have to use the value  $d_f=d=1$ . However, in this case the drawbacks of the conventional method of length measurement by the “yardstick” (ruler) are conserved. Mandelbrot considered a problem of the measurement of tortuous seacoast length in which the increase of measurement accuracy (the decrease of the value  $\delta$ ) leads to the growth of the value  $N(\delta)$  ( $d_f>1$ ). From the formal standpoint, this approach yields,  $L(\delta) \approx \delta N(\delta) \Big|_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \rightarrow \infty$ . This means that such a fractal line embraces almost the full plane.

To describe the effects related to the considerable increase of transport coefficients, it is not sufficient to consider the fractal presentation of a streamline only. Moreover, the fractal character of the trajectory sometimes leads to slower diffusion (subdiffusion). Therefore, it is necessary to introduce another important value. In the percolation theory the correlation length  $a(\varepsilon)$  is the main magnitude characterizing spatial scales of the system, which is located near the percolation threshold  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ :

$$a = \frac{\lambda}{|\varepsilon|^v} \quad L(a) \approx \lambda \left( \frac{a}{\lambda} \right)^{D_h} . \quad (5)$$

Here,  $\nu = 4/3$  and  $D_h = 1 + \frac{1}{\nu}$  are the percolation exponents that are exactly calculated for the two-dimensional case [4,5,14],  $\lambda$  is the geometric characteristic scale, and  $L(a)$  is the length of the percolation streamline, which also expressed through the small parameter  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Thus, the idea of long-range correlations was realized in the percolation approach [4-5]. However, there is a problem, since the diffusion coefficient is directly related to the conventional expression for the correlation length:  $D_C \approx \frac{l_{COR}^2}{\tau}$ . Here,  $\tau$  is the correlation time. In the case under consideration, estimates yield  $l_{COR} \approx a(\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \rightarrow \infty$ . For this reason, perhaps, Kadomtsev and Pogutse based their consideration on “diffusion renormalization” of the quasi-linear equations [8]. However, in this approach the percolation character of correlation effects was lost. This is not surprising that in the framework of classical diffusion equations we cannot use the percolation exponents  $\nu, d_F$ .

To develop the percolation approach, it is necessary to take into account that the percolation cluster occupies only a small fraction of the space. Therefore, the value  $D_{eff}(\varepsilon) \approx D_C P_\infty(\varepsilon)$ , can be the estimate of the effective diffusion coefficient. Here,  $D_C$  is the diffusion coefficient that corresponds to transport on the percolation cluster; and the value  $P_\infty(\varepsilon)$  defines the fraction of the space that is occupied by the percolation cluster. In the continuum percolation theory [4,5,14] a use is made of the scaling representations for  $P_\infty(\varepsilon)$  in the form

$$P_\infty(\varepsilon) \approx \frac{L(\varepsilon)\Delta(\varepsilon)}{a^2(\varepsilon)} \approx \frac{\lambda}{a(\varepsilon)}. \quad (6)$$

Here,  $\Delta$  is the width of the stochastic layer. Now we can calculate the diffusion coefficient that based on the estimate of the finite correlation length  $a$

$$D_{eff} \approx \frac{a^2(\varepsilon)}{\tau(\varepsilon)} P_\infty(\varepsilon) \approx \frac{a(\varepsilon)}{\tau(\varepsilon)} \lambda . \quad (7)$$

One can see that in percolation models of turbulent diffusion the key problem is to determine the small parameter  $\varepsilon$  and to express the correlation time  $\tau$  through characteristic flow parameters. Thus for the steady model [9] the small parameter is defined by

$$\varepsilon_* = \left( \frac{1}{Pe} \right)^{\frac{1}{\nu+3}} . \quad (8)$$

For the time-dependent perturbations [10] the small parameter is given by the expression

$$\varepsilon_* \approx \left( \frac{1}{Ku} \right)^{\frac{1}{\nu+2}} . \quad (9)$$

These calculations (that are based on the renormalization method) will be considered bellow.

### 3. Percolation and renormalization of the small parameter

The important aspect of the percolation approach is the method to obtain the small parameter  $\varepsilon_*$  that characterizes the closeness of a system to a percolation threshold, since in order to solve real physical problems the condition  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  looks too abstract. In the percolation models of turbulent diffusion, the key problem is to determine a small parameter  $\varepsilon_0$  and to find an adequate renormalization condition for  $\varepsilon_*$ . Thus, the correlation length is one of the most important values describing transport. However, in the system of the finite size  $L_0$  we cannot consider the infinite value  $a(\varepsilon \rightarrow 0) \rightarrow \infty$ . Here, it is relevant to introduce a new small “renormalization” parameter  $\varepsilon_*$  [4] as the value that provides the condition  $a(\varepsilon_*) \approx L_0$ .

Simplest calculations yield:



$$\varepsilon_* \approx \left( \frac{\lambda}{L_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{\nu}} . \quad (10)$$

This result can be interpreted in the framework of percolation experiments with finite size samples. In these conditions, the percolation threshold arises when the value  $\varepsilon_*$  is slightly differed from zero and disposes in some  $\Delta\varepsilon$  diapason. The estimate obtained for  $\varepsilon_*$  can be considered as the characteristic width of this diapason  $\Delta\varepsilon \approx \varepsilon_*$ . Actually we deal with the small parameter  $\varepsilon_0 \approx \lambda/L_0 \ll 1$ , which describes the real physical system with the characteristic scales  $L_0$  and  $\lambda$ . Upon “renormalization” we obtain the new percolation parameter  $\Delta\varepsilon \approx \varepsilon_* \approx \varepsilon_0^{1/\nu}$ . It is natural that the value  $\Delta\varepsilon$  decreases if the system size  $L_0$  increases.

Another typical example of the renormalization small parameter consists of the consideration of percolation in models of graded type [16]. The graded character of the model corresponds to the assumption that the system undergoes a small external influence, which does not in general destroy the percolation character of the system behavior, but it can essentially change its properties. First, we will consider this method from the formal point of view. Let us introduce a parameter  $\varepsilon_0$  characterizing the smallness of influence. In contrast to the renormalization, which uses the dependence of a percolation parameter on a system size  $\Delta\varepsilon \approx \Delta\varepsilon(L_0) \approx \varepsilon_*$ , here, we will deal with the spatial dependence that is related to the graded character of the problem  $\varepsilon \approx \varepsilon(x)$ . From the dimensional consideration, we can obtain the expression that characterizes uncertainty of choice of the small parameter in these conditions  $\varepsilon_* \approx \Delta\varepsilon \approx \varepsilon'(x)a(\varepsilon_*)$ . Then simple calculations yield

$$a(\varepsilon_*) \approx \lambda \frac{1}{\varepsilon_*^\nu} \approx \frac{\lambda}{[\varepsilon'(x)a(\varepsilon_*)]^\nu} . \quad (11)$$

After the dimensional estimate in the form  $\varepsilon'(x) \approx \frac{\varepsilon_0}{\lambda}$ , we obtain the Trugman renormalization condition for the correlation scale

$$a(\varepsilon_*) \approx \lambda \frac{1}{\varepsilon_*^v} \approx \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon_0^{\frac{v}{1+v}}} . \quad (12)$$

Here the value

$$\varepsilon_* = \varepsilon_0^{\frac{1}{1+v}} \gg \varepsilon_0 \quad (13)$$

is the new small percolation parameter. Note, that the direct use of the value  $\varepsilon_0$  as a parameter in the percolation dependences is not correct, since the value  $\varepsilon_0$  characterizes the destructive influence of superimposed perturbation and not a degree of departure of the system from the percolation threshold.

This method looks quite formal, but renormalization (13) was repeatedly used to obtain the information about the critical exponents that describe the hull of a percolation cluster, to analyze transport in a system with shear flows, and to consider models of multiscale percolation. In the framework of the graded percolation the author of Ref. [15] considered a problem of influence of small drift velocity  $U_d$  on the fractal topology of streamlines

$$V = V_0 + U_d \quad , \quad U_d \ll V_0 . \quad (14)$$

The simplest way for the alteration of the small parameter is the use of the value

$\varepsilon_* \approx \varepsilon_0 = \frac{U_d}{V_0}$ . However, in this approach the fractal character of percolation streamlines is

completely lost. Yushmanov suggested the use of the following dimensional estimate for the drift velocity

$$U_d = \frac{a(\varepsilon)}{\tau(\varepsilon)} P_\infty(a) \quad , \quad a(\varepsilon) \approx \lambda |\varepsilon|^{-v} \quad , \quad \tau(\varepsilon) \approx \frac{L(\varepsilon)}{V_0} . \quad (15)$$

The expression suggested for the steady case,  $P_\infty \approx \lambda/a$ , was used for  $P_\infty$ . Simple calculations permit obtaining the parametric dependence for the renormalized small parameter  $\varepsilon_*$  on the flow parameters  $V_0$  and  $U_d$ ,

$$\varepsilon_* = \left( \frac{U_d}{V_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\nu}}, \quad \text{where } \nu = 4/3. \quad (16)$$

It is easy to see that this expression coincides completely with the Trugman result (13) and can be interpreted in terms of the streamline function  $\Psi$ ,

$$U_d \approx \frac{\Psi_1}{a(\varepsilon)} \approx \frac{\varepsilon \Psi_0}{a(\varepsilon)}. \quad (17)$$

Here we deal with the conditions:  $\Psi_1 \ll \Psi_0 \approx \lambda V_0$  and  $a(\varepsilon) \gg \lambda$ .

#### 4. Temporal scales and renormalization

To calculate the effective diffusion coefficient  $D_{eff}$  it is necessary to obtain the expression for the correlation time  $\tau$  (7). Moreover, the consideration of characteristic times permits finding the equation for the percolation parameter  $\varepsilon_*$ . For the purposes of this paper we need to treat the characteristic times balance that underlies the calculation method [9-10]. Thus, assuming that in the steady case the particle motion time along the percolation streamline  $\tau_B \approx \frac{L}{V_0}$  has to be the same order as the characteristic diffusion time  $\tau_D \approx \frac{\Delta^2}{D_0}$  of the particle escape from the percolation stochastic layer of the width  $\Delta$ , the authors of Refs. [9] obtained the expression:

$$\frac{\Delta^2(\varepsilon_*)}{D_0} = \frac{L(\varepsilon_*)}{V_0}. \quad (18)$$

In fact this representation was repeatedly used in the consideration of transport in systems with the convective cells [17-19]. Expression (18) is based upon the particle balance in the cell of the size  $\lambda$

$$D_0 \frac{n}{\Delta^2} \approx V_0 \frac{n}{\lambda}. \quad (19)$$

Here  $n$  is the passive scalar density. Consideration of the balance between convective transport in the narrow layer of width  $\Delta$  and the diffusive flow of particle leaving the cell, leads to expression (18). In the percolation case the characteristic size  $\lambda$  is replaced by the length of the percolation streamline  $L$ .

To obtain the equation that characterizes the value  $\varepsilon_*$  it is necessary to introduce the dependence  $\Delta = \Delta(\varepsilon_*)$ . The authors of Refs. [9,20] suggested to use the following simplest definition:

$$\Delta(\varepsilon_*) = \lambda \varepsilon_*. \quad (20)$$

Simple calculations lead to expression (3) and the estimate for  $D_{eff}$  in the form,  $D_{eff} \approx V_0 \Delta(\varepsilon_*) \approx \lambda V_0 \varepsilon_*$ . Upon the substitution of (20) in (18) one obtains the new small parameter  $\varepsilon_*$  in the form (8) and the effective diffusivity in the form (3). It should be noted that the small parameter  $\varepsilon_*$  is not infinitesimal quantity as in the classical percolation theory. Therefore the width of the percolation (stochastic) layer depends on the parameters of model  $V_0, \lambda, D_0$ .

The value  $\varepsilon_*$  has significant physical interpretation in the terms of the stream function  $\Psi$  that describes the velocity field in the framework of the two-dimensional approach. The values of amplitude of the stream function  $\Psi$  corresponding to the percolation stochastic layer  $\Delta$  lie in the interval

$$\Delta \Psi \approx \lambda V_0 \varepsilon_*. \quad (21)$$

Then the estimate of the characteristic diffusion time  $\tau_D$  can be rewritten in the form

$$\tau_D \approx \frac{(\Delta\Psi)^2}{V_0^2 D_0} \approx \frac{(\Delta\Psi)^2}{D_\Psi}. \quad (22)$$

Here,  $D_\Psi$  characterizes the “diffusion of streamlines”. Moreover, for two-dimensional incompressible flows the formulation of the problem in terms of the stream function is equivalent to the Hamilton formulation. This allows consideration of the equation for the small percolation parameter in the form:

$$\frac{\Delta H(\varepsilon_*)^2}{D_H} \approx \frac{L(\varepsilon_*)}{V_0}. \quad (23)$$

Here  $\Delta H$  is the diapason of the Hamiltonian alteration in the stochastic layer and  $D_H$  characterizes corresponding diffusion process.

The considered estimate makes it possible to use the Trugman expression to analyze the force line distortion mechanism by drift flows

$$D_\Psi \approx \frac{(\Delta\Psi)^2}{\tau_{COR}} \approx \frac{U_d^2 a(\varepsilon_*)^2}{\tau_{COR}}. \quad (24)$$

Here  $\tau_{COR}$  is the correlation time. Now we deal with the new parameter  $U_d$  to treat transport effects in the framework of the percolation approach. The simplest estimate is the expression for the effective diffusion coefficient [5,15]

$$D_{eff} \approx V_0 \Delta(\varepsilon_*) \approx \lambda V_0 \varepsilon_* \approx \lambda V_0 \left( \frac{U_d}{V_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\nu}} \propto U_d^{\frac{3}{7}}. \quad (25)$$

The author of Ref. [15] suggested using this result to interpret experimental results characterizing neoclassical transport in tokamaks. The experimental data considered in [15]

are satisfactory described by the scaling  $D_{eff} \propto U_d^{\frac{3}{7}}$  that corresponds to the Trugman model [16].

The next step is the incorporation of the time-dependence effects that play the significant role in the analysis of transport processes. Often the complexity of simultaneous incorporation of several factors leads to the consideration of time-dependence on the basis only the quasi-linear expression (1). The percolation method suggested in [9-10,21-25] is important approach that makes it possible to analyze the long-range correlation effects, which cannot be described in the framework of the quasi-linear approach. In the next parts we will consider possible modifications of equations for the small parameter (22), (23), (24) for time-dependent drift flows.

### 5. Non-quasi-linear effects and percolation

The analysis of the steady percolation model is based on the supposition of the presence of “seed” diffusion  $D_0$  in the stochastic (percolation) layer  $\Delta$  [26]. New physical situations in which the stochastic layer nature is related to external influences (such as time-dependence, drift flows etc.), could be analyzed by means of modification of the equation for the percolation small parameter before studied. The typical example is the consideration of the influence of time-dependent effects on the effective transport. Thus, the quasi-linear estimate

[1-4] can be used for flows with the characteristic frequency  $\omega \approx \frac{1}{T_0}$  in the form:

$$D_{eff} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d\langle \Delta^2 \rangle}{dt} = \int_0^\infty C(t) dt \approx \frac{V_0^2}{\omega}. \quad (26)$$

Here,  $C(t)$  is the autocorrelation function of velocity and  $\langle \Delta^2 \rangle$  is the mean square displacement. However, this approach does not mirror the physical essence of processes for cases of small frequencies (the low-frequency limit), when the particle path

$$l \approx V_0 T_0 \approx \frac{V_0}{\omega} \quad (27)$$

during the time  $T_0$  can be essentially greater than the characteristic spatial scale  $\lambda$ . It is natural that in this case “long” streamlines play an important role. To describe such models the authors of [10] suggested using the percolation approach. For the simplest monocscale model [10] the equation for the percolation parameter  $\varepsilon_*$  was offered in the form:

$$\tau_B \approx \frac{L(\varepsilon_*)}{V_0} \approx \varepsilon_* T_0 = \frac{\varepsilon_*}{\omega} \quad (28)$$

This implies that the ballistic motion time of the particle along the percolation streamline, which is approximately the lifetime of this streamline, is much less than the characteristic time  $T_0$  (which is the time of changing entire flow pattern). At the same time, this corresponds to

the correlation scale  $a \approx \varepsilon_*^2 l \approx \varepsilon_*^2 \frac{V_0}{\omega} \ll l = \frac{V_0}{\omega}$ . Upon the solution of this algebraic equation

for  $\varepsilon_*$ , relationship (2) was found

$$\varepsilon_* = \varepsilon_*(\lambda, V_0, \omega) = \left( \frac{1}{Ku} \right)^{\frac{1}{v+2}} \quad (29)$$

that makes it possible to obtain the estimate of the effective diffusion coefficient (7) in accordance with the ideas developed in Ref. [9] about the linear dependence of  $D_{eff}$  on the stochastic layer width  $\Delta$

$$D_{eff} \approx V_0 \Delta(\varepsilon_*) \approx \lambda \varepsilon_* V_0 \propto \omega^{\frac{1}{v+2}} \quad (30)$$

This expression differs significantly from the quasi-linear one. It is important to note that in the analyzed model of time-dependent perturbations [10,20] the following condition was used:

$$\tau_B \approx \varepsilon_* T_0 < \tau_D \approx \frac{(\Delta\Psi)^2}{D_\Psi} < T_0 \quad (31)$$

Calculations yield the estimate of the characteristic diffusion time in which the frequency  $\omega$  enters as a parameter

$$\tau_D \approx \frac{(\epsilon_* \lambda V_0)^2}{V_0^2 D_0} \approx \frac{V_0^2}{D_0} \left( \frac{\lambda}{V_0} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\lambda \omega}{V_0} \right)^{\frac{2}{\nu+2}}. \quad (32)$$

Non-quasi-linear character of the dependence of the characteristic time on the perturbation frequency  $\tau_D \propto \omega^{2/(\nu+2)}$  leads to considerable changes of transport estimates. One of the important examples is the transport in a stochastic magnetic field that was considered in [23-24]. Unfortunately, the value of seed diffusion  $D_0$  that was used in expression (32) is too abstract. New physical situations, where time-dependent effects have essential influence on the transport character, can be analyzed by way of more detailed consideration of mechanisms, which are responsible for processes in the stochastic layer. Actually, it is necessary to examine the alteration of the character of percolation transport under external influence.

## 6. Transport in the system with drift flows and time-dependence effects

The author of Ref. [15] considered the Hamiltonian function accounting for the simultaneous influence of drift flows and time-dependence effects

$$H(r_\perp, t) = \frac{c\tilde{\varphi}(r_\perp, t) + v_{||}(t)A(r_\perp, t)}{B_0} + U_d(x \cos \theta(t) + y \sin \theta(t)). \quad (33)$$

Here,  $\tilde{\varphi}$  and  $A$  characterize the fluctuation amplitudes of electric and magnetic potentials,  $B_0$  is the core magnetic field, and  $v_{||}$  is the longitudinal velocity. As was mentioned above, the simplest estimate for time-dependent effects is the quasi-linear expression (1). The author of Ref. [15] kept the Trugman result for the small percolation parameter



$$\varepsilon_* = \left( \frac{U_d}{V_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\nu}} \quad (34)$$

and transformed the expression for  $D_{eff}$  (7) to the quasi-linear form:

$$D_{eff} \approx P_\infty \frac{a^2}{\tau} \approx \frac{U_d^2 \tau}{P_\infty} \approx U_d^2 \tau \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon_*} \right)^\nu. \quad (35)$$

The correlation estimate (15) of the drift velocity  $U_d \approx \frac{aP_\infty}{\tau}$  and the approximation

$P_\infty \approx \frac{\lambda}{a(\varepsilon_*)}$  of the space fraction occupied by percolation streamlines (6) were used here.

Applying the substitution of  $\tau \approx \frac{1}{\omega}$ , we obtain the Yushmanov result [15,27]:

$$D_{eff} \approx \frac{U_d^2}{\omega} \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon_0} \right)^\nu \approx \frac{U_d^2}{\omega} \left( \frac{V_0}{U_d} \right)^{\frac{4}{7}} \propto U_d^{\frac{10}{7}} V_0^{\frac{4}{7}} \frac{1}{\omega}. \quad (36)$$

Obviously, that the substitution of  $\tau \approx \frac{1}{\omega}$  and the use of the small parameter (16)

corresponding to the steady model is fairly rough approximation. From the standpoint of the dependence of  $D_{eff}$  on the perturbation amplitude  $U_d$ , this expression corresponds to the transfer from the quasi-linear regime with  $D_{eff} \propto U_d^2$  to the linear one with  $D_{eff} \propto U_d$ .

Moreover the estimates under consideration for the conventional (without drift) time-dependent case [10] yield the expression for the characteristic time  $\tau_D$

$$\tau_D \approx \frac{(\Delta\Psi)^2}{V_0^2 D_0} \approx \varepsilon_*^2(\omega) \propto \omega^{\frac{6}{10}}, \quad (37)$$

that differs significantly from the quasi-linear result (1). Following the methods developed by Isichenko et.al [9], we can find the equation for the small parameter  $\varepsilon_*$  that incorporates the perturbation frequency  $\omega$ . Consider the balance of characteristic times by means of using (24)

$$\frac{(\varepsilon_* \lambda V_0)^2}{D_\Psi} \approx \frac{(\Delta\Psi)^2}{D_\Psi} \approx \frac{L(\varepsilon)}{V_0} . \quad (38)$$

This is fairly common representation that characterizes transport in the stochastic layer. Let us express the value  $D_\Psi$  in the dimensional form, which mirrors the certain character of external influences (drift and time-dependence)

$$D_\Psi \approx (\Delta\Psi)^2 \omega \approx U_d^2 a(\varepsilon)^2 \omega . \quad (39)$$

Note that the expression for  $\Delta\Psi$  is similar to the Trugman result (16). It is naturally, since  $a(\varepsilon)$  characterizes correlation properties of a system. However here we do not use the Trugman small parameter  $\varepsilon_*$  (16). The new value of the percolation parameter that characterizes the system in the conditions of simultaneous influence of both drift flows and time-dependence effects, will be obtained as a result of solution of the algebraic equation:

$$\frac{(\varepsilon_* \lambda V_0)^2}{(U_d a(\varepsilon_*))^2 \omega} \approx \frac{L(\varepsilon_*)}{V_0} . \quad (40)$$

In fact we have renormalized the value  $D_\Psi \approx V_0^2 D_0$  in expression (22) in accordance with the mechanisms distorting streamlines (the drift flow with characteristic velocity  $U_d$  and temporal fluctuations with the frequency  $\omega$ ). The new expression for the small parameter has the form:

$$\varepsilon_* \approx \left( \frac{U_d}{V_0} \right)^{\frac{2}{3(1+\nu)}} \left( \frac{1}{Ku} \right)^{\frac{1}{3(\nu+1)}} \propto U_d^{\frac{2}{7}} V_0^{\frac{3}{7}} \omega^{\frac{1}{7}} . \quad (41)$$

Here we simultaneously use two dimensionless complexes:  $\varepsilon_0 = \frac{U_d}{V_0}$  and the Kubo number

$Ku = \frac{V_0}{\lambda \omega}$ . The corresponding expression for the effective diffusion coefficient is given by

$$D_{eff} \approx V_0 \Delta(\varepsilon_*) \approx \left( \frac{U_d}{V_0} \right)^{\frac{2}{3(1+\nu)}} \left( \frac{\lambda \omega}{V_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{3(\nu+1)}} \propto U_d^{\frac{2}{7}} V_0^{\frac{4}{7}} \omega^{\frac{1}{7}} . \quad (42)$$

This result corresponds to the low-frequency limit, where the effective diffusion coefficient grows with  $\omega$  that differs significantly from the quasi-linear dependence  $D_{eff} \propto \frac{1}{\omega}$ . This is the main fact in the framework of the percolation approach to the description of the low-frequency perturbations [10]. As was mentioned in the introduction such a form of dependence makes it possible to adequately describe the long-range correlation effects [4,5]. In the case under consideration the Trugman regime with

$$D_{eff} \approx V_0 \lambda \left( \frac{U_d}{V_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\nu}} \quad (43)$$

passes to the low-frequency regime, where

$$D_{eff} \propto U_d^{\frac{2}{7}} V_0^{\frac{4}{7}} \omega^{\frac{1}{7}} \quad (44)$$

in the region  $\omega \approx \frac{U_d}{\lambda}$ . Then in the region  $\omega \approx \frac{U_d}{\lambda} \left( \frac{V_0}{U_d} \right)^{\nu+1}$  the character of the dependence is altered in accordance with [15]

$$D_{eff} \approx \frac{U_d^2}{\omega} \left( \frac{V_0}{U_d} \right)^{\frac{4}{7}}. \quad (45)$$

Calculations yield the estimate for the characteristic time:

$$\tau_D \approx \frac{(\Delta\Psi)^2}{D_\Psi} \approx \frac{\varepsilon_*(\omega)^2}{a(\varepsilon_*)^2 \omega} \approx \frac{1}{\omega^{\frac{11}{21}}}. \quad (46)$$

Note that the authors of Ref. [27] carried out detailed analysis of neoclassical transport on the basis of the percolation model and pointed out the absence of correct result for the low-frequency case. Moreover they suggested the upper estimate for the dependence of the effective diffusion coefficient on frequency

$$D_{eff} \propto \omega^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (47)$$

Indeed formula (42) suggested in the present paper satisfies this criterion.

The consideration of the dependence  $D_{eff}$  on the amplitude of drift flow velocity  $U_d$  also points out the correct character of change of regimes [5]. Thus, the quasi-linear regime [1-3] is described by the steeper dependence  $D_{eff} \propto U_d^{10/7}$  then the low-frequency regime with  $D_{eff} \propto U_d^{2/7}$ . The dependence of  $D_{eff}$  on the characteristic scale of the velocity  $V_0$  is the same as in all the regimes with drift flow.

In the framework of the percolation approach there is another good example. The author of Refs. [23,24] considered the alteration of transport regime depending on the value  $\omega$  in the case of time-dependent perturbations of magnetic field  $\delta B$ . In spite of that the estimate considered in [23,24] has fairly rough (dimensional) character  $\delta B(\omega, \delta t) \approx B_0 \omega \delta t$ , this result agrees well with the model representation of transition for the value  $Ku \approx 1$  from low-frequency regime, where  $D_{eff}$  increases with  $\omega$ , to the quasi-linear regime  $D_{eff} \propto \frac{1}{\omega}$ .

## 7. Conclusions

In the present paper we have considered the influence of drift flow and time-dependence effects on the passive scalar behavior in the framework of the percolation approach. The renormalization method of a small parameter in continuum percolation models is reviewed. It is shown that the estimate  $D_{eff} \approx \frac{U_d^{10/7}}{\omega}$  suggested in [15,27] has quasi-linear character and it is based on using the Trugman results [16] for the steady case. Following the methods developed in [9,10], it is offered to modify the renormalization condition of the small parameter of the percolation model

$$\tau_{COR} \approx \frac{(\Delta\Psi)^2}{D_\Psi} \approx \frac{L(\varepsilon)}{V_0}$$

in accordance with an additional external influences superimposed on the system. This approach makes it possible to consider both parameters: the characteristic drift velocity  $U_d$  and the characteristic perturbation frequency  $\omega$  simultaneously. However in contrast to [15], the effective diffusion coefficient  $D_{eff} \propto \omega^{1/7}$  adequately describes the low-frequency region  $\omega$ , in which the long-range correlation effects play a significant role. The changes of regimes with the alterations of perturbations frequency  $\omega$  were considered. The character of the dependence of  $D_{eff}$  on the drift flow amplitude  $U_d$  in different regimes is treated.

Note, that the influence of the small drift velocity is also important for the analysis of transport in systems with intrinsic trapping [28-30]. Thus, in recent papers [28-32] the possibilities to use model approximations of velocity field were discussed. It was shown that anisotropy significantly complicates an interpretation of the results due to the presence of numerous regimes. Moreover, the study of multiscale drift flows [33-34] also shows non-diffusion character of transport [5,21-22] and has to be related to the detail consideration of correlation functions that considerably complicates the analysis.

## Acknowledgments

The author thanks Prof. V.Shafranov, Prof. R. Balescu, G. Zaslavsky, Prof. T.Schep and Dr. H. de Blank for the useful discussions and support.

## References

- [1] Krommes J A. 2002 *Physics Reports* **360** 1-352
- [2] Horton W and Ichikawa Y-H 1994 *Chaos and Structures in Nonlinear Plasmas* (World Scientific)
- [3] Yoshizawa A Itoh S-I Itoh K and Yokoi N 2001 *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **43** R1-R144
- [4] Balescu P 1997 *Statistical dynamics* (Imperial College Press)
- [5] Isichenko M B 1992 *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 64 961
- [6] Shiesinger M F and Zaslavsky G M 1995 *Levy flights and related topics in physics* (Springer )
- [7] Majda A J and Kramer P R 1999 *Physics Reports* **314** 237-574
- [8] Kadomtsev B B and Pogutse O P 1978 *Plasma Phys. And Controlled Nuclear Fusion Research*, Proceedings of the 7-th International Conference (IAEA Vienna) **1** 649
- [9] Isichenko M B Kalda Ya L Tatarinova E V Telkovskaya O V and Yankov V V 1989 *Sov. Phys. JETP* **69** 517
- [10] Gruzinov A V Isichenko and Kalda Ya L 1990 *Sov. Phys. JETP* **70** 263
- [11] Ottaviani M 1992 *Europhys. Lett.* **20** 111
- [12] Reuss J-D and Misguich J H 1996 *Phys. Rev. E* **54** 1857
- [13] Zimbardo G Veltri P and Pommois P 2000 *Phys Rev E* **61**: 1940
- [14] Feder J 1988 *Fractals*. (Department of Physics University of Oslo, Norway. Plenum Press, New York)
- [15] Yushmanov P N 1992 *Comment Plasma Phys. Controlled Fusion* **14** 313
- [16] Trugman S A 1983 *Phys. Rev. B* **27** 7539
- [17] Osipenko M V Pogutse O P and Chudin N V 1987 *Sov. J. Plasma Phys.* 13 550
- [18] Rosenbluth M N Berk H L Doxas I and Horton W 1987 *Phys.Fluids* 30 2636

- [19] Bakunin O G 2003 *Plasma Physics Reports* **29** 955
- [20] Bakunin O G 2003 *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion.* **45** 1909
- [21] Isichenko M B and Kalda J 1991 *J. Nonlinear Sci* **1** 255
- [22] Isichenko M B and Kalda J 1991 *J. Nonlinear Sci* **1** 375
- [23] Isichenko M B 1991 *Plasma Phys. Controlled Fusion* **33** 795
- [24] Isichenko M B 1991 *Plasma Phys. Controlled Fusion* **33** 809
- [25] Isichenko M B and Horton W 1991 *Comment Plasma Phys. Controlled Fusion* **14** 249
- [26] Bakunin O G 2003 *Physics Uspekhi* **46** 756.
- [27] Yushmanov P and Smoliakov A 1994 *Preprint IFSR (Texas Univ.)* **467**
- [28] Vlad M Spineanu F Misguich J H and Balescu R 2001 *Phys Rev E* **63** 066304
- [29] Vlad M Spineanu F Misguich J H and Balescu R 2002 *Phys Rev E* **66** 038302
- [30] Gleeson J P 2002 *Phys Rev E* **66** 038301
- [31] Avellaneda M Elliott F and Apelian C 1993 *Jornal of Stat Phys* **72** 1227
- [32] Grisanti A Falcioni M Paladin G and Vulpian A 1990 *J Phys Math A: Gen* **23** 3307
- [33] Bakunin O G 2004 *Physica A* **337** 27
- [34] Bakunin O G and Schep T J 2004 *Physics Letter A* **322** 105