Discrete Wavelet Transform Techniques for Denoising, Pattern Detection and Compression of Turbulent Rayleigh-Taylor Mix Data

Bedros Afeyan, Polymath Research Inc. **Praveen Ramaprabhu & Malcolm J. Andrews,** Texas A&M University



International Workshop on the Physics of Compressible Turbulent Mixing Cal Tech Pasadena, CA December 9-14, 2001

What Are Wavelets? Start @ (www.wavelets.org) surf (Mathsoft, amara, ...)



Mallat, Meyer, Daubechies, Beylkin, Coifman, Strang, Sweldens, Jawerth...

- Wavelets are localized kernels or atoms in PHASE SPACE.
- You may think of them as basis functions with prescribed dilation and translation properties.
- They may or may not be orthonormal or have compact support or be differentiable everywhere, or be fractal, or have many zero moments.
- Wavelets are like breathing wave packets which can home in on structures in phase space better than FT or WFT ever could.

$$\Psi_{j,k}(x) = 2^{j/2} \Psi\left[2^{j}\left(x - \frac{k}{2^{j}}\right)\right]; j,k \in \mathbb{Z}$$
$$\Psi_{n}(x) = (-1)^{n} \frac{d^{n}}{dx^{n}} \left[\exp\left(-\kappa(x - x_{c})^{2}/2\right)\right]$$

When the scale is decreased translation steps between wavelets should likewise be decreased

> BBA WLTs and RT Mix Cal Tech Pasadena CA 8th IW PCTM 12-11-01

What is MRD or Multi-resolution Decomposition?

- Multiresolution: Zoom in and out on a number of successively finer scales in a sequence of nested approximation subspaces $\{V_i\}_{i \text{ in } Z}$.
- In general, get an overcomplete basis set in $L_2(R)$. Approximate (or truncate) by bounding the scales of interest.

Scaling functions and the scaling equation: Low pass filter

The Wavelets: High pass filter

These filters decompose a sampled signal into 2 sub-sampled channels: the coarse approximation of the signal and the missing details at finer scales. The original signal can be reconstructed from these channels by interpolation.

> BBA WLTs and RT Mix Cal Tech Pasadena CA 8th IW PCTM 12-11-01

Polymath Research Inc.

What Are Discrete Wavelet Decompositions Good for?



- Wavelet decompositions are very useful for the analysis of intermittent or bursty data.
- Spatial and scale localized information is efficiently represented.
- Because the trends you want are captured efficiently (get large coefficients in the expansion)very high quality denoising is possible.
- Similarly, pattern recognition and detection capability is enhanced.
- Compression is achieved where a few coefficients can represent what is needed in the data.
- All this depends on nonlinear (or largest coefficient)thresholding and not scale or level thresholding. The latter is rather reminiscent of what is done with Fourier expansions.

The Scaling Function and Wavelet for Haar or Daubechies 1 in X-Space





BBA WLTs and RT Mix Cal Tech Pasadena CA 8th IW PCTM 12-11-01

The Scaling Function and Wavelet for Haar or Daubechies 1 in K- Space



BBA WLTs and RT Mix Cal Tech Pasadena CA 8th IW PCTM 12-11-01

Polymath Research Inc.

The Scaling Functions and Wavelets for Daubechies 2-6 in X-Space

























BBA WLTs and RT Mix Cal Tech Pasadena CA 8th IW PCTM 12-11-01

The Scaling Functions and Wavelets for Daubechies 2-6 in k-Space





BBA WLIS and KI WIX Cal Tech Pasadena CA 8th IW PCTM 12-11-01

9 **Raw Thermocouple RT Strong Mix** Data (30 cm Downstream, **Polymath Research Inc.** theta ~ 0.71) from Texas A&M Raw RT Mix Data 1 $T - T_{AVE}$ 0.75 $T_{MAX} - T_{AVE}$ 0.5 0.25 0 -0.25-0.5-0.75

6000

8000

4000

2000

A

The Faded and Padded Version of the Data 8192 Points Long



80 pts to fade

Polymath Research Inc.

16 pts to fad per side

BBA WLTs and RT Mix Cal Tech Pasadena CA 8th IW PCTM 12-11-01

The Fourier Transform of the RT Mix Data





MRDs of the RT Mix Data in 6 Different Daubechies WLT Bases

2000

2000

2000



Polymath Research Inc.

Decay Rate of Largest Coefficient vs Number of Coefficients Kept in 6 Different Daub WLT Decomps





-- -- -

Energy Accumulation Rate in Coefficient Space vs # of WLTs Kept in 6 Different Daub Decomps





s and RT Mix asadena CA TM 12-11-01

Scaleograms: Waveleters Preferred Way of Judging Tiling in Scale-Translation Space





WLTs and RT Mix 'ech Pasadena CA W PCTM 12-11-01

Least Square Error Incurred By Truncating the WLT Series at N of its Largest Coefficients





BA WLTs and RT Mix Cal Tech Pasadena CA th IW PCTM 12-11-01

Least Square Error Incurred by Level Thresholding the DWT





BA WLTs and RT Mix Cal Tech Pasadena CA th IW PCTM 12-11-01

Daubechies 5 Does Much Better than Haar: 5 Quantitative Measures



Polymath Research Inc. 18

Level by Level Decomposition of the RT Mix Data Using Daub5 WLTs







BBA WLTs and RT Mix Cal Tech Pasadena CA 8th IW PCTM 12-11-01

Reconstruction of the Data Using Research Inc. the 5 Largest WLT Coefficients



Data Being Approximated



Polymath

6000

8000

Reconstruction of the Data Using the 10 Largest WLT Coefficients







Reconstruction of the Data Using the 15 Largest WLT Coefficients





Data Being Approximated





X

Reconstruction of the Data Using the 20 Largest WLT Coefficients

0.75

0.5

0.25

-0.25

-0.5

-0.75

0

0







6000

8000

4000

Data Being Approximated



2000

Reconstruction of the Data Using the 30 Largest WLT Coefficients









Polymath Research Inc.

X

Reconstruction of the Data Using the 50 Largest WLT Coefficients

0.75







Data Being Approximated



Reconstruction of the Data Using 100 Largest WLT Coefficients











Reconstruction of the Data Using 200 Largest WLT Coefficients





Data Being Approximated





Polymath Research Inc.

Reconstruction of the Data Using 400 Largest WLT Coefficients





Data Being Approximated





Reconstruction of the Data Using Up to 0.75 times the Largest WLT Coefficient











Derivative of the Interpolated Signal



Reconstruction of the Data Using Up to 0.5 times the Largest Coefficient







Data Being Approximated 0.750.50.250 -0.25-0.5-0.756000 0 2000 4000 8000





Polymath Research Inc.

Reconstruction of the Data Using Up to 0.25 times the Largest WLT Coefficient







Data Being Approximated



0.1



Reconstruction of the Data Using Up to 0.1 times the Largest WLT Coefficient





Data Being Approximated



32

Polymath Research Inc.

Reconstruction of the Data Using Up to 0.05 times the Largest WLT Coefficient







Data Being Approximated







Reconstruction of the Data Using the First (of 10) Level of the M

Reconstruction of the Data Using the First Two (of 10) Levels of the MRD





Reconstruction of the Data Using the First Three (of 10) Levels of the MRD





Data Being Approximated 0.75 0.5 0.25 0 -0.25 -0.5 -0.75 0 2000 4000 6000 8000

Derivative of the Interpolated Signal



Polymath Research Inc.
Reconstruction of the Data Using the First Four (of 10) Levels of the MRD







Data Being Approximated 0.75 0.5 0.25 0 -0.25 -0.5 -0.75 0 2000 4000 6000 8000

Derivative of the Interpolated Signal



Reconstruction of the Data Using the First Five (of 10) Levels of the MRD







Data Being Approximated 0.75 0.5 0.25 0 -0.25 -0.5 -0.75 0 2000 4000 6000 8000

Derivative of the Interpolated Signal



Reconstruction of the Data Using the First Six (of 10) Levels of the MRD









Derivative of the Interpolated Signal



Reconstruction of the Data Using the First Seven (of 10) Levels of the MRD







Derivative of the Interpolated Signal



Conclusions on Raw RT Mix Data Analysis Using DWT



- Compression of around a factor of 20 seems likely with full data set.
- Will see what low pass filtering will do to initial data and its subsequent WLT analysis.
- Looks like 25% of the largest coefficients are enough to reconstruct the clean parts of the data.
- We should compare different stages of evolution of RT Mix in terms of their optimum WLT representations.
- Significant dynamical degrees of freedom vs insignificant ones which vary more slowly or not at all or randomly might be obtainable if we keep at it!

Low Pass Filtered RT Mix Data



The Filtering Has This Form and Effect in k-Space







BBA WLTs and RT Mix Cal Tech Pasadena CA 8th IW PCTM 12-11-01

MRDs of the LP Filtered RT Mix Data in 6 Different Daubechies WLT Bases



8000



Haar

<u>ᢤᠧᢁᢟᡧᡄ᠄ᡛᡛᠯᡀᡛᢛᡆᡈ᠃ᠴᡛᡄᢛᡷᢤᡛᡄ᠂ᢦᡄᡀ᠆ᢢᡈᠣᢛᡛᡘᡟ᠄ᢣᡆᠧ</u>ᢥᡞᡛ᠕ᡀᡡᠧᡀᢛ

4000

6000

8000

~~~~~

2000



Daubechies2

4000

Daubechies4

MRD

6000

MRD

2000

#### Decay Rate of Largest Coefficient vs Number of Coeffs Kept in LPF RT Mix Data



#### Energy Accumulation Rate in Coefficient Space vs # of WLTs Kept for LPF RT Mix Data





**46** 

#### Scaleograms: Waveleters Preferred Way of Judging Tiling in Scale-Translation Space for LPF RT Mix



3000

1000

o

X/2 2000



2

4000

3000

1000

4000

3000

1000

o

X/2 2000

a.

X/2 2000

x

38

#### Least Square Error Incurred By Truncating the WLT Series at N of its Largest Coeffs LPF RT Mix Data



#### Least Square Error Incurred by Level Thresholding the DWT of LPF RT Mix Data





#### Daubechies 5 Does Much Better than Haar: 5 Quantitative Measures for LPF RT Mix Data





#### Level by Level Decomposition of the LPF RT Mix Data Using **Daub5 WLTs**







6000

8000

## **Reconstruction of the LPF Data** with 5 Largest WLT Coeffs







Data Being Approximated 0.75 0.50.250 -0.25-0.5-0.752000 4000 б000 8000 Ô.





4000

6000

8000

2000

0

### **Reconstruction of the LPF Data with 10 Largest WLT Coeffs**





Daubechies 5 (with 10 largests coefs.)

0.6

0.4

0.2

0

-0.2

-0.4

-0.6

Derivative of the Interpolated Signal



#### **Reconstruction of the LPF Data with 15 Largest WLT Coeffs**





0.75

0.5

0.25

-0.25

-0.5

-0.75

0

0

Derivative of the Interpolated Signal

6000

8000

X



#### **Reconstruction of the LPF Data with 20 Largest WLT Coeffs**

0.75

0.5

0.25











Derivative of the Interpolated Signal



### **Reconstruction of the LPF Data with 30 Largest WLT Coeffs**













### **Reconstruction of the LPF Data with 50 Largest WLT Coeffs**







Derivative of the Interpolated Signal



### **Reconstruction of the LPF Data** with 100 Largest WLT Coeffs

0.75

0.5

0.25

-0.25

-0.5

-0.75

Ô.

0





6000

8000

4000

2000

Data Being Approximated



### **Reconstruction of the LPF Data with 200 Largest WLT Coeffs**





Data Being Approximated 0.75 0.5 0.25 0 -0.25 -0.5 -0.5 0 2000 4000 6000 8000



### **Reconstruction of the LPF Data with 400 Largest WLT Coeffs**









### **Recons. of the LPF Data Using Up to 0.75 x the Largest WLTs**

0.75

Ô.



6000

8000





0.5 0.25 0 -0.25 -0.5 -0.75

2000

4000

Data Being Approximated



### **Recons. of the LPF Data Using Up to 0.5 x the Largest WLTs**



**62** 





Data Being Approximated



### **Recons. of the LPF Data Using Up to 0.25 x the Largest WLTs**





Data Being Approximated 0.75 0.5 0.25 0 -0.25 -0.5 0 2000 4000 6000 8000



### **Recons. of the LPF Data Using** Up to 0.1 x the Largest WLTs





Data Being Approximated 0.75 0.5 0.25 Ð -0.25-0.5-0.752000 4000 6000 8000





### **Recons. of the LPF Data Using Up to 0.05 x the Largest WLTs**









## **Reconstruction of the LPF Data Using the First MRD Level**



**Polymath** 

**Research Inc.** 

## **Reconstruction of the LPF Data Using the First 2 MRD Levels**





4000

6000

8000

2000

-0.б -0.8

0





## **Reconstruction of the LPF Data Using the First 3 MRD Levels**





Data Being Approximated 0.75 0.5 0.25 0 -0.25 -0.5 -0.75 0 2000 4000 6000 8000



## **Reconstruction of the LPF Data Using the First 4 MRD Levels**





Data Being Approximated





## **Reconstruction of the LPF Data Using the First 5 MRD Levels**





**Data Being Approximated** 



Derivative of the Interpolated Signal 0.04 0.02 0 -0.02 -0.04 0 2000 4000 6000 8000

#### **Conclusions Regarding the WLT Analysis of the LPF RT Mix Data**



- Far better compression and denoising is achieved once a modest amount of initial low pass filtering is done on the data.
- Note the extremely small contributions levels 5 and above make to the MRD while with the unfiltered data that contribution was of order 1 or 0.1
- Far cleaner structures are observable in levels 1, 2 and 3, periodic correlations in time, or so it seems to the eye!
- The reconstruction with largest wavelets kept shows long patches of flatness surrounded by localized structures which could be indicative of the correlation properties of the data.
- More to come!

#### Raw RT Weak Mix Data (2 cm Downstream, Theta = 0.7) from Texas A&M




#### The Faded and Padded Version of the RT Weak Mix Data: 8192 Points



Polymath Research Inc.

#### The Fourier Transform of the RT Weak Mix Data





### MRD Coefficients of the RT Weak Mix Data in 6 Different Daubechies WLT Bases







Daubechies3 Wavelet Coefficients



Daubechies5 Wavelet Coefficients







#### Daubechies 4 Wavelet Coefficients



#### Daubechies 6 Wavelet Coefficients



### Actual MRDs of the RT Weak Mix Data in 6 Different Daubechies WLT Bases









#### Decay Rate of Largest Coefficient vs Number of Coefficients Kept in 6 Different Daub WLT Decomps



Polymath Research Inc.

77

X

## Energy Accumulation Rate in Coefficient Space vs # of WLTs Kept in 6 Different Daub Decomps

O

н



n

## **Scaleograms: Waveleters Preferred Way of Judging Tiling in Scale-Translation Space**





### Least Square Error Incurred By Truncating the WLT Series at N of its Largest Coefficients





#### Least Square Error Incurred by Level Thresholding the DWT





#### **Daubechies 5 Does Much Better than Haar: 5 Quantitative Measures**



Polymath Research Inc.

#### Level by Level Decomposition of the RT Weak Mix Data Using Daub5 WLTs



<0.0

80.04

# **Reconstruction of the Data Using the 5 Largest WLT Coefficients**



Polymath Research Inc.

# Reconstruction of the Data Using the 10 Largest WLT Coefficients





Data Being Approximated 0.5 0 -0.5 -1 0 2000 4000 6000 8000



# Reconstruction of the Data Using the 15 Largest WLT Coefficients





Data Being Approximated 0.5 0 -0.5 -1 0 2000 4000 6000 8000



# Reconstruction of the Data Using the 20 Largest WLT Coefficients

0.5

0

-0.5

-1

0







6000

8000

4000

Data Being Approximated



2000

# Reconstruction of the Data Using the 25 Largest WLT Coefficients

0.5





Data Being Approximated





# Reconstruction of the Data Using the 30 Largest WLT Coefficients







Derivative of the Interpolated Signal



# Reconstruction of the Data Using Polymath the 35 Largest WLT Coefficients





Data Being Approximated





# Reconstruction of the Data Using Polymath the 40 Largest WLT Coefficients

0.5

Û

-0.5

-1

0





4000

6000

8000

Data Being Approximated



2000

# Reconstruction of the Data Using Polymath the 45 Largest WLT Coefficients







Derivative of the Interpolated Signal



# Reconstruction of the Data Using the 50 Largest WLT Coefficients





Data Being Approximated 0.5 0 -0.5 -1 0 2000 4000 6000 8000



# Reconstruction of the Data Using Polymath the 100 Largest WLT Coefficients





Data Being Approximated

Derivative of the Interpolated Signal



# Reconstruction of the Data Using Polymath the 200 Largest WLT Coefficients





Data Being Approximated 0.5 0 -0.5 -1 0 2000 4000 6000 8000



# **Reconstruction of the LPF Data Using the First MRD Level**





Data Being Approximated

Derivative of the Interpolated Signal



Polymath Research Inc.

# Reconstruction of the Weak Mix Data Using First 2 MRD Levels





Data Being Approximated





# Reconstruction of the Weak Mix Data Using First 3 MRD Levels





Data Being Approximated 0.5 0 -0.5 -1 0 2000 4000 6000 8000



# **Reconstruction of the Weak Mix Data Using First 4 MRD Levels**





**Data Being Approximated** 



Derivative of the Interpolated Signal



Polymath Research Inc.

# **Reconstruction of the Weak Mix Data Using First 5 MRD Levels**





Data Being Approximated 0.5 0 -0.5 -1 0 2000 4000 6000 8000



Polymath Research Inc.

#### **Conclusions Regarding the WLT Analysis of the RT Weak Mix Data**



#### Low Pass Filtered (LPF) Padded and Faded RT Weak Mix Data



#### The Filtering Has This Form and Effect on the Data in k-Space





## MRD Coefficients of the LPF RT Weak Mix Data in 6 Different Daubechies WLT Bases



Haar



Daubechies3 Wavelet Coefficients



Daubechies5 Wavelet Coefficients



Daubechies2 Wavelet Coefficients



#### Daubechies4 Wavelet Coefficients



Daubechies6 Wavelet Coefficients



## Actual MRDs of the LPF RT Weak Mix Data in 6 Different Daubechies WLT Bases







Daubechies2





#### Decay Rate of Largest Coefficient vs Number of Coefficients Kept in 6 Different Daub WLT Decomps



106



## Energy Accumulation Rate in Coefficient Space vs # of WLTs Kept in 6 Different Daub Decomps.

107



## **Scaleograms: Waveleters Preferred** Way of Judging Tiling in Scale-Translation Space






#### Least Square Error Incurred by Level Thresholding the DWT





#### Daubechies 5 Does Much Better than Polymath Haar: 5 Quantitative Measures



#### Level by Level Decomposition of the LPF RT Weak Mix Data Using **Research Inc. Daub5 WLTs**



4000

6000

8000

2000



**Polymath** 

#### **Reconstruction of the LPF RT** Weak Mix Data Using the 5 <u>Largest WLT Coefficients</u>











d RT Mix lena CA 12-11-01

### Reconstruction of the LPF RT Weak Mix Data Using the 10 Largest WLT Coefficients











#### Reconstruction of the LPF RT Weak Mix Data Using the 15 Largest WLT Coefficients







Data Being Approximated 0.5 0.25 0 -0.25 -0.5 -0.75 -1 0 2000 4000 6000 8000



#### Reconstruction of the LPF RT Weak Mix Data Using the 20 Largest WLT Coefficients







Data Being Approximated 0.5 0.25 0 -0.25 -0.5 -0.5 -1 0 2000 4000 6000 8000



# **Reconstruction of the LPF RT** Weak Mix Data Using the 25 Largest WLT Coefficients











# **Reconstruction of the LPF RT** Weak Mix Data Using the 30 Largest WLT Coefficients







Data Being Approximated 0.5 0.25 0 -0.25 -0.5 -0.75 -1 0 2000 4000 6000 8000



#### Reconstruction of the LPF RT Weak Mix Data Using the 35 Largest WLT Coefficients







Data Being Approximated 0.5 0.25 0 -0.25 -0.5 -0.75 -1 0 2000 4000 6000 8000



# Reconstruction of the LPF RT Weak Mix Data Using the 40 Largest WLT Coefficients







Data Being Approximated 0.5 0.25 0 -0.25 -0.5 -0.75 -1 0 2000 4000 6000 8000



# **Reconstruction of the LPF RT** Weak Mix Data Using the 45 Largest WLT Coefficients







Data Being Approximated 0.5 0.25 0 -0.25 -0.5 -0.75 -1 0 2000 4000 6000 8000

Derivative of the Interpolated Signal



# Reconstruction of the LPF RT Weak Mix Data Using the 50 Largest WLT Coefficients







Data Being Approximated 0.5 0.25 0 -0.25 -0.5 -0.5 -1 0 2000 4000 6000 8000

Derivative of the Interpolated Signal



# **Reconstruction of the LPF RT** Weak Mix Data Using the 100 **Largest WLT Coefficients**



-----

123





Data Being Approximated 0.50.250 -0.25-0.5-0.75-12000 4000 6000 8000

0.04 0.020 -0.02-0.042000 б000 0 4000 8000

Derivative of the Interpolated Signal

# Reconstruction of the LPF RT Weak Mix Data Using the 200 Largest WLT Coefficients







Data Being Approximated 0.5 0.25 0 -0.25 -0.5 -0.75 -1 0 2000 4000 6000 8000



#### Reconstruction of the LPF RT Weak Mix Data Using the First MRD Level









Derivative of the Interpolated Signal



### **Reconstruction of the LPF RT Weak Mix Data Using the First 2 MRD Levels**







Data Being Approximated 0.25 0 -0.25 -0.5 -0.75 -1 0 2000 4000 6000 8000



# Reconstruction of the LPF RT Weak Mix Data Using the First 3 MRD Levels











# Reconstruction of the LPF RT Weak Mix Data Using the First <u>4 MRD Levels</u>





Data Being Approximated 0.5 0.25 0 -0.25 -0.5 -0.75 -1 0 2000 4000 6000 8000

128

Polymath Research Inc.

Derivative of the Interpolated Signal 0.04 0.02 0 -0.02 -0.04 0 2000 4000 6000 8000

# Reconstruction of the LPF RT Weak Mix Data Using the First 5 MRD Levels







Data Being Approximated 0.5 0.25 0 -0.25 -0.5 -0.75 -1 0 2000 4000 6000 8000

Derivative of the Interpolated Signal



#### **Conclusions Based on the LPF RT Weak Mix Data's WLT Analyses**



BBA WLTs and RT Mix Cal Tech Pasadena CA 8th IW PCTM 12-11-01