IWPCTM Dec 2001 Pasadena, CA, USA

# The Dependence of the Shock Induced Richtmyer-Meshkov Instability on Dimensionality and Density Ratio

<u>A. Yosef-Hai<sup>1,2</sup></u>, O. Sadot<sup>1,2</sup>, D. Kartoon<sup>2,3</sup>, D. Oron<sup>4</sup>, L. A. Levin<sup>2</sup>, E. Sarid<sup>2</sup>, Y. Elbaz<sup>2,3</sup> G. Ben-Dor<sup>1</sup> and D. Shvarts<sup>1,2,3</sup>

Dept. of Mech. Eng., Ben-Gurion University, Beer-Sheva, Israel.
Dept. of Physics, Nuclear Research Center Negev, Israel.
Dept. of Physics, Ben-Gurion University, Beer-Sheva, Israel.
Fac. of Physics, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel.

**Buoyancy - Drag Consideration for the Single Mode Case** 

Newton s second law (for the bubble):

$$(\rho_1 \cdot V + C_a \cdot V \cdot \rho_h) \cdot \frac{dU}{dt} = (\rho_h - \rho_1) V \cdot g - C_d \cdot \rho_h \cdot S \cdot U^2$$

 $\sim$ 

For the bubble:

$$(\rho_{1}+C_{a}\rho_{h})\dot{U}=(\rho_{h}-\rho_{1})\cdot g-\frac{C_{d}}{\lambda}\rho_{h}\cdot U^{2}$$

For the spike:  $(\rho_h \Leftrightarrow \rho_1)$  $(\rho_h + C_a \rho_1) \dot{U} = (\rho_1 - \rho_h) \cdot g - \frac{C_d}{\lambda} \rho_1 \cdot U^2$ 



#### Linear Stage Single Mode Velocity

Atwood Number: 
$$A = \frac{\mathbf{r}_H - \mathbf{r}_L}{\mathbf{r}_H + \mathbf{r}_L}$$

Using: 
$$g(t) = U_0 \delta(t)$$
 and  $U^2 << \frac{(\rho_h - \rho_1)\lambda \cdot g}{\rho_h \cdot C_d}$ 

$$\mathbf{U}_{\text{linear}} = \mathbf{U}_0 \cdot \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{h}_0 \cdot \mathbf{A} \quad \text{Constant velocity} \quad \left(\mathbf{k} = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda}\right)$$

Asymptotic Single Mode Velocities:



\* Layzer (1955); Hecht *et al.* (1994).



**Experimental Apparatus** 



## **Experimental Setup - The Membrane**



#### 2D - Low and High Atwood number Experiments



Experiment vs. Model for the Atwood Number Dependence



**Dimensionality Investigation:** 







Experimental Setup - The Membrane - 2D/3D case

Periodic Initial Conditions:





(Bubble-3D Spike-2D)

Results of 2D vs. 3D Experiments

 $(M=1.20 \text{ Air to } SF_6)$ 3D 2D 2D 3D  $\overline{\lambda} = 57 \,\mathrm{mm}$  $\overline{\lambda} = 28 \text{mm}$  $\lambda = 26 \text{mm}$  $\lambda = 80 \text{mm}$  $(\lambda_{x,y}=40mm)$  $(\lambda_{x,y}=80mm)$ SW 3D bubbles 2D spk

#### **Dimensionality Dependence Results - Bubbles**



\* [Sadot *et al.* (1998)]

#### Completing the picture 3D Spikes

t = 0.8 m S





t = 1.4 m S



 $t\,{=}\,1$  . 7 m S



3D Spike, 2D Bubble



S.W

air

air

C.S

SF<sub>6</sub>

### **Bubble Competition** 2D



M=1.2 A=0.67  $\lambda_1$ =10mm  $\lambda_2$ =25mm

[Sadot et al. (1998)]

## **Bubble Competition in 3D - Experiment**



#### $(M=1.20 \text{ Air to } SF_6)$





t = 0.2 m S

 $t=0\;.\;8\;m\;S$ 



t = 0.4 m S



 $t\,{=}\,0$  . 6 m S





t = 1.4 m S





t = 1 m S

1.2113

## **Bubble Competition in 3D**





Experiments were performed to investigate the dependence of the RM instability (Bubbles and Spikes) on the dimensionality and the Atwood number.

Good agreement was found between the results of the experiments and the prediction of the model as well as with the results of full simulations.

Bubble Competition was shown to exists also in the 3D case.



Summary: Implications for Multimode

## **Theory for the RM Instability**

Alon et. al. (1994, 1995), D. Oron et. al. (1998), Shvarts et. al. (2000)

Two key elements govern the multimode bubble front evolution :

- The asymptotic velocity of a single bubble. <= Shown as depended on Dimensionality and Atwood.
- The merger rate between two neighboring bubbles. <= Merging was observed in 3D as well.</li>

$$h_{\rm B} = a_0 \cdot t^{\theta_{\rm B}(2D/3D,A)}$$

$$\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{S}} = \mathbf{b}_{0} \cdot \mathbf{t}^{\theta_{\mathrm{s}}(2\mathrm{D}/3\mathrm{D},\mathrm{A})}$$

Present work verifies the: 2D/3D and Atwood Number Dependence of Single-Mode Bubble/Spike



#### **Bubble Envelope (Simulation)**