

Originally published in *Proceedings of the Fifth International Workshop on Compressible Turbulent Mixing*, ed. R. Young, J. Glimm & B. Boston. ISBN 9810229100, World Scientific (1996).

Reproduced with the permission of the publisher.

# Stability of Point Blast Wave in Gas with Density Having Power Dependence on Radius

V. M. Ktitorov

Russia Federal Nuclear Center  
Institute of Experimental Physics  
Arzamas-16, Nizhegorodsky region,  
Russia, 607200

## 1 Introduction

The instability of point (Sedov) blast wave propagating through a uniform perfect gas with low adiabatic index  $\gamma$  ( $\gamma < \gamma_0 = 1.20$ ) was shown earlier theoretically in [1, 2, 3]. Later on [4] the experimental proof of this result was obtained so there are reasons to apply technique improved in [1] to other similar cases. The case of blast wave in non-uniform density gas (initial density  $\rho_0$  is a power function of radius ( $\rho_0 \sim r^k$ )) is considered in this paper. According to [1] stability is considered in respect to small (linear) perturbations expanded in spherical harmonics  $Y_{nm}(\chi, \varphi)$ , components of expansion being represented in self-similar form (only the case of  $m = 0$  is considered):

$$f(t, \xi, \chi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^{\lambda} f_n(z) \times Y_n(\chi), \quad (1)$$

where  $\lambda = \lambda(n)$  - complex index,  $\xi$  - Lagrange radius,  $R$  - shock wave front radius ( $R \sim t^{\frac{2}{k+5}}$ ),  $z = \xi/R$  - self-similar argument.

The considered self-similar functions describe an asymptotic mode of perturbations development corresponding to large time values (much higher than those needed for sound wave crossing an explosion area). In this case :

- perturbations are independent on initial conditions;
- front values perturbations (for example, front radius perturbation  $R_1$  have power time dependence ( $R_1 \sim t^{\frac{\lambda+1}{k+5}}$  or  $\frac{R_1}{R} \sim R^{\lambda}$ ).

It is natural to suggest that pressure in the explosion center has no divergences and hence [1, 2] pressure perturbations are zero in the center.

## 2 System of self-similar equations for perturbations

According to [1] we derive linear equations for perturbations using linearization of hydrodynamic equations (2) written in Lagrangian form.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(P/\rho^\gamma) = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{\rho_{00}}{\rho} = \frac{D(r^3, \cos \theta, \varphi)}{D(\xi^3, \cos \chi, \psi)} \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{1}{\rho_{00}} \frac{\partial P}{\partial \eta_i} + \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial \eta_i} \frac{\partial^2 x_j}{\partial t^2} = 0 \quad (4)$$

where  $i = 1, 2, 3$ ;  $t$ ,  $\eta_1 = \xi$ ,  $\eta_2 = \chi$ , and  $\eta_3 = \psi$  stand for Lagrangian variables;  $x_1 = r \sin \theta \cos \varphi$ ,  $x_2 = r \sin \theta \sin \varphi$ ,  $x_3 = r \cos \theta$ ,  $P$ , and  $\rho$  stand for unknown values describing three dimensional motion behind the shock front;  $\rho_{00} = \rho_{00}(\xi) \sim \xi^k$  is the gas density before shock front.

Corresponding 1-d (spherical) self-similar solution of (2) is well-known [5]. It can be written with functions  $x(z)$ ,  $P(z)$ ,  $\rho(z)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} r_0(t, \xi) &= Rx(z) \\ P_0(t, \xi) &= \frac{2}{\gamma + 1} \rho_{00} \dot{R}^2 P(z) \\ \rho_0(t, \xi) &= \frac{\gamma + 1}{\gamma - 1} \rho_{00} \rho(z), \\ \theta_0 &= \chi, \quad \varphi_0 = \psi \end{aligned}$$

where  $x, P, \rho$  - satisfy to following system of equations:

$$\rho = \frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma + 1} \frac{z^{k+3}}{wx^3}, \quad (5)$$

$$P = \rho^\gamma / z^{3+k\gamma}, \quad (6)$$

$$\frac{P'}{P} = -\gamma \frac{ab}{w} x(1) = P(1) = \rho(1) = 1$$

Here and below we have:

$$\begin{aligned} w &= \frac{x'}{x} = \frac{z dx}{x dz} \\ b &= \frac{\gamma + 1}{2\gamma} \frac{wz^{k+3}}{Px} \\ a &= w' + \left(w + \frac{k+3}{2}\right)(w-1) \end{aligned}$$

According to [1] we write variables in (2-4) in terms of small self-similar perturbations (here  $\rho_{00} = \rho_{00}(t) \sim R^k$ ):

$$r(t, \xi, \chi) = r_0(t, \xi) + \frac{2}{\gamma + 1} \sum_n R_{1n}(t) x_{1n}(z) Y_n(\chi) \quad (7)$$

$$P(t, \xi, \chi) = P_0(t, \xi) + \frac{2}{\gamma + 1} \rho_{00} \frac{\dot{R}^2}{R} \sum_n R_{1n}(t) P_{1n}(z) Y_n(\chi) \quad (8)$$

$$\rho(t, \xi, \chi) = \rho_0(t, \xi) + \frac{\gamma + 1}{\gamma - 1} \rho_{00} \frac{1}{R} \sum_n R_{1n}(t) \rho_{1n}(z) Y_n(\chi) \quad (9)$$

$$\theta(t, \xi, \chi) = \chi + \frac{2}{\gamma + 1} \frac{1}{R} \sum_n R_{1n}(t) \nu_{1n}(z) \frac{dY_n(\chi)}{d\chi}. \quad (10)$$

After linearization we get a system of ordinary differential equations for functions:

$$P_2(z) = \frac{xP_1}{z^{k+3+\lambda}}, \quad x_2(z) = \frac{x_1}{xz^\lambda}, \quad \nu_2(z) = \frac{\nu_1}{z^\lambda}$$

$$bP_2 + (\widehat{D} + 3w + \lambda)x_2 - n(n+1)w\nu_2 = \frac{\gamma+1}{2\gamma}(2\lambda + k + 5) \quad (11)$$

$$wP_2 + ax_2 - (\widehat{D} + \frac{k+1}{2})\widehat{D}\nu_2 = 0 \quad (12)$$

$$(\widehat{D} - w + k + 3 + \lambda)P_2 + ((\widehat{D} + \frac{k+1}{2})\widehat{D} - a)x_2 + n(n+1)a\nu_2 = 0 \quad (13)$$

( where  $\widehat{D}$  stands for differential operator:

$$(\widehat{D} + \frac{f'}{f})F(z) = \frac{1}{f} \frac{zd}{dz}(fF)$$

Boundary conditions on the shock front ( $z = 1$ ) are set in a usual manner:

$$\nu_2(1) = 0, \quad \widehat{D}\nu_2(1) = 1, \quad x_2(1) = 1$$

$$P_2(1) = 2\lambda + \frac{k(\gamma+1) + 5 - 3\gamma}{(\gamma+1)^2} \quad (14)$$

System (12-14) has the 4th order so four boundary conditions (15) are the complete necessary set of boundary conditions. Hence one more (the fifth) condition specified on the other (center) edge:

$$P_1(0) = 0$$

reduces the problem of solving the system (12-14) to the eigenvalue problem. The problem is solved numerically, and complex exponents  $\lambda(n)$  are calculated as eigenvalues.

### 3 Results discussion

The considered problem has an analytical solution in case of  $\gamma$  being close to unity. For  $\gamma = 1$  eigenvalues  $\lambda(n)$  satisfy a simple equation:

$$(\lambda + k + 3)^2 \left( \lambda + \frac{k+5}{2} \right)^2 - \frac{(9+k)}{2} (\lambda + k + 3) \left( \lambda + \frac{k+5}{2} \right) + n(n+1) \frac{(k+3)^2}{4} = 0 \quad (15)$$

In general case ( $\gamma > 1$ ) the problem should be solved numerically. The complex values of  $\lambda(n)$  thus found and calculated for  $\gamma = 1.2$  and  $\gamma = 1.667$  in a wide range of  $n$  are presented in Tab.1 as functions of  $n$  for  $k = -2, -1, 0, 1$ . The function  $\gamma_0(n)$  which is satisfying the condition  $Re\lambda(\gamma_0(n), n) = 0$  is presented in Tab.2 This function shows the boundary of instability region in the  $(n - \gamma)$  plane.

The stability condition is  $Re\lambda < 0$  so the stability condition with respect to all self-similar perturbations is  $\gamma > \gamma_{cr} = \max(\gamma_0(n))$ .

This critical value  $\gamma_{cr}$  of adiabatic exponent turns out to be a slight function of  $k$ . For  $k$  equal to -2, -1, 0, 1, 2 corresponding values of  $\gamma_{cr}$  are equal to 1.12, 1.17, 1.20, 1.21, 1.22.

Let us consider separately the case of the first harmonic number ( $n = 1$ ). We have two eigenvalues  $\lambda_1(1)$ ,  $\lambda_2(1)$  in this case, both real and negative. The greatest (of the greatest modulus) of them does not depend on  $\gamma$ ; it is determined with formula:

$$\lambda_2(1) = -\frac{k+3}{2},$$

and corresponds to explosion direct motion with momentum conservation.

### References

- [1] V. M. Ktitorov, *Voprosy Atomnoi Nauki i Tehniki (Atomic Science and Technique Issues)*, Ser. Theor. Appl. Phys, 2, p.28 (1984).
- [2] D. Ryu and E. T. Vishniac, *Astrophys. J.* 313, 820 (1987).
- [3] E. T. Vishniac and D. Ryu, *Astrophys. J.* 337, 917 (1989).
- [4] J. Grun, J. Stamper, C. Manca, et al., *Phys. Rev. Let.* 66,21,p.2738, (1991).
- [5] V. P. Korobeinikov, N. S. Melnikova, E. V. Ryazanov, *Point blast theory*, Moscow, Fizmatgiz, 1961.

Harmonic number $n$	$k = -2$		$k = -1$		$k = 0$		$k = 1$	
	Re $\lambda$	Im $\lambda$	Re $\lambda$	Im $\lambda$	Re $\lambda$	Im $\lambda$	Re $\lambda$	Im $\lambda$
1	-0.3	0	-0.62	0	-1	0	-1.55	0
1	-0.5	0	-1	0	-1.5	0	-2	0
2	-0.24	0.64	-0.64	0.86	-1.04	1.03	-1.48	1.26
3	-0.29	0.89	-0.51	1.25	-0.86	1.5	-1.28	1.69
4	-0.27	1.14	-0.4	1.57	-0.71	1.88	-1.1	2.12
5	-0.28	1.38	-0.32	1.86	-0.58	2.21	-0.94	2.5
6	-0.3	1.61	-0.25	2.12	-0.47	2.52	-0.79	2.84
7	-0.34	1.84	-0.2	2.37	-0.38	2.8	-0.67	3.15
8	-0.4	2.08	-0.17	2.61	-0.3	3.07	-0.55	3.45
9	-0.48	2.31	-0.15	2.85	-0.23	3.32	-0.45	3.73
10	-0.57	2.56	-0.14	3.08	-0.17	3.57	-0.36	4
12	-0.81	3.1	-0.15	3.53	-0.09	4.06	-0.21	4.52
14	-1.06	3.76	-0.19	3.99	-0.04	4.52	-0.1	5.01
16	-1.22	4.49	-0.27	4.44	-0.02	4.98	-0.01	5.49
18	-1.32	5.21	-0.39	4.9	-0.02	5.43	0.049	5.96
20	-1.39	5.92	-0.53	5.38	-0.06	5.89	0.084	6.42
24	-1.49	7.28	-0.9	6.38	-0.19	6.79	0.089	7.33
28	-1.57	8.62	-1.35	7.52	-0.41	7.72	0.02	8.23
32	-1.64	9.95	-1.79	8.85	-0.69	8.68	-0.12	9.14
36	-1.69	11.3	-2.1	10.3	-1.06	9.69	-0.31	10.1
40	-1.74	12.6	-2.31	11.7	-1.48	10.8	-0.57	11

 Table 1: Eigenvalues  $\lambda(n, \gamma, k)$ ,  $\gamma = 1.2$ .

Harmonic number $n$	$k = -1$		$k = 0$		$k = 1$	
	Re $\lambda$	Im $\lambda$	Re $\lambda$	Im $\lambda$	Re $\lambda$	Im $\lambda$
1	-0.7	0	-1	0	-1.45	0
1	-1	0	-1.5	0	-2	0
2	-0.8	1.02	-1.13	1.24	-1.55	1.51
3	-0.84	1.55	-1.07	1.83	-1.45	2.06
4	-0.93	2.05	-1.07	2.33	-1.39	2.61
5	-1.04	2.56	-1.11	2.81	-1.37	3.11
6	-1.17	3.1	-1.19	3.29	-1.39	3.59
7	-1.29	3.68	-1.28	3.78	-1.44	4.06
8	-1.38	4.28	-1.39	4.28	-1.5	4.53
9	-1.44	4.88	-1.51	4.8	-1.59	5.02
10	-1.48	5.48	-1.63	5.34	-1.68	5.51
12	-1.51	6.65	-1.85	6.47	-1.9	6.53
14	-1.52	7.78	-2.02	7.65	-2.12	7.6
16	-1.53	8.88	-2.13	8.84	-2.33	8.72
18	-1.53	9.97	-2.2	10	-2.52	9.87
20	-1.53	11.1	-2.23	11.2	-2.67	11.1
24	-1.52	13.2	-2.27	13.5	-2.86	13.4
28	-1.52	15.3	-2.28	15.7	-2.95	15.7
32	-1.51	17.4	-2.28	17.8	-3	18
36	-1.51	19.5	-2.28	20	-3.02	20.3
40	-1.51	21.6	-2.28	22.1	-3.03	22.5

Table 2: Eigenvalues  $\lambda(n, \gamma, k)$ ,  $\gamma = 1.667$ .

Harmonic number $n$	$k = -2$	$k = -1$	$k = 0$	$k = 1$
4	1			
5	1.08			
6	1.10	1		
7	1.12	1.11		
8	1.12	1.14	1	
9	1.12	1.16	1.11	
10	1.12	1.17	1.15	1
11	1.12	1.17	1.17	1.11
12	1.11	1.17	1.18	1.14
13	1.11	1.17	1.19	1.17
14	1.11	1.17	1.20	1.18
15	1.11	1.17	1.20	1.19
16	1.10	1.16	1.20	1.19
17	1.10	1.16	1.20	1.20
18	1.10	1.16	1.20	1.21
20		1.16	1.20	1.21
22			1.19	1.21
24				1.21

Table 3: Adiabatic exponent values  $\gamma_0(n, k)$  limiting the region of instability:  $Re\lambda(\gamma_0, n, k) = 0$ ; if  $\gamma < \gamma_0$  then  $Re\lambda(\gamma, n, k) > 0$